

A possible quantic motivation of the structure of quantum group

Giuseppe Iurato

Abstract. *Following a suggestion of A. Connes (see [Co], § I.1), we build up a (first) simple natural structure of a no finitely generated braided non-commutative Hopf Algebra, suggested by elementary quantum mechanics.*

1. The notion of EBB-groupoid

The idea of *quantum group* has been introduced, in a pure mathematical context, independently by V.G. Drinfeld¹ ([Dr1], [Dr2]) and M. Jimbo ([Ji1], [Ji2]), who used the adjective 'quantum' for the fact that a such structure is obtained quantizing (according to geometric quantization) a Poisson symplectic structure introduced on the algebra $\mathfrak{F}(G, \mathbb{C})$ of the differentiable $\mathbb{C}$ -functions defined on a Lie group G . This structure of quantum group is the result of a non-commutative Hopf algebra achieved as non-commutative (non-trivial) deformations ([BFFLS]) of the initial Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{F}(G, \mathbb{C})$, or of the universal enveloping Hopf algebras of an arbitrary semisimple Lie algebras. A little later, Yu. Manin (see [Mn]) and S.L. Woronowicz ([Wo]) independently constructed non-commutative deformations of the algebra of functions on $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and SU_2 , respectively.

On the other hand, the study of quantum group was inspired by the works of physicists on integrable XYZ models with highest spin. Moreover, L.D. Faddeev and coworkers ([Fa], [FST], [FT]), had already used similar structures in mathematical physics, for the algebra of quantum inverse scattering transform of the theory of integrable models, as well as P.P. Kulish, N.Yu. Reshetikhin ([KR]) and E.K. Sklyanin ([KuS]).

However, from elementary quantum mechanics, it is possible constructs a

¹Drinfeld put, as basic examples of a quantum group structure, some group algebras dually related with certain function algebras, starting from simple quantum mechanics considerations (see [Dr2], § 1).

simple model of a braided non-commutative Hopf algebra, through an algebraically modern re-examination of few elementary notions of the original papers of W. Heisenberg, M. Born e P. Jordan ([33]) on matrix quantum mechanics.

The basic algebraic structure for our model is that of *groupoid*. Independently, A. Baer ([Ba]), and W. Brandt ([Br]) in some of his researches on quadratic forms on $\mathbb{Z}$, introduced a well-defined new algebraic structure, that we will call *Ehresmann-Baer-Brandt groupoid* (or *EBB-groupoid*) (see [Co3], [CW], [La], [Hi], [Mak]). It is a partial algebraic structure because is an algebraic system provided by a partial (or no total) composition law, that is, not defined everywhere.

Historically, S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod ([ES]) formulated the notion of abstract category on the basis of the previous notion introduced by S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane ([EM]), considering a groupoid as a special category in which every morphism has an inverse (see also [MacL]).

A little later, the notions of abstract category and groupoid appeared too in the work of C. Ehresmann ([Eh]).

However, retrospectively, the common substructure to all these notions of groupoid, is that of *multiplicative system* (or *Eilenberg-Steenrod groupoid*, or *ES-groupoid*), say $(G, \mathcal{D}_\diamond, \diamond)$, where $\diamond$ is a partial composition law over G , defined on a domain $\mathcal{D}_\diamond \subseteq G \times G$. It was introduced by [ES].

The further categorial (over) structure of a ES-groupoid, leads to the notion of *Eilenberg-MacLane groupoid* (or *EM-groupoid*), introduced in [EM], and to the equivalent² notion of *Ehresmann groupoid* (or *E-groupoid*), introduced in [Eh]. We will use the notion of E-groupoid.

An *E-groupoid* is an algebraic system of the type $(G, G^{(0)}, r, s, \star)$, where $G, G^{(0)}$ are non-void sets such that $G^{(0)} \subseteq G$, $r, s : G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ and $\star : G^{(2)} \rightarrow G$ with $G^{(2)} = \{(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G, s(g_1) = r(g_2)\}$, satisfying the following conditions:

- ₁ $s(g_1 \star g_2) = s(g_2), r(g_1 \star g_2) = r(g_1) \quad \forall (g_1, g_2) \in G^{(2)}$;
- ₂ $s(g) = r(g) = g \quad \forall g \in G^{(0)}$;
- ₃ $g \star \alpha(s(g)) = \alpha(r(g)) \star g = g \quad \forall g \in G$;
- ₄ $(g_1 \star g_2) \star g_3 = g_1 \star (g_2 \star g_3) \quad \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$;

²See [Wa] for a proof of this equivalence.

$$\bullet_5 \forall g \in G, \exists g^{-1} \in G : g \star g^{-1} = \alpha(r(g)), g^{-1} \star g = \alpha(s(g)),$$

where $\alpha : G^{(0)} \hookrightarrow G$ is the immersion of $G^{(0)}$ into G . The maps r, s are called, respectively, *range* (or *target*) and *source*, G the *support* and $G^{(0)}$ the *set of units* of the groupoid. g^{-1} is said to be the (bilateral) *inverse* of g , so that we have an inversion map of the type $i_G : g \rightarrow g^{-1}$, defined on the whole of G . Instead, the map $\star$ is a partial map defined on $G^{(2)} \subseteq G \times G$, and not on the whole of $G \times G$. However, from now on, for simplicity, we will suppresses the symbol α in 3. and 5., writing only $r(g), s(g)$ instead of $\alpha(r(g)), \alpha(s(g))$. However, a E-groupoid is more general than the first notion of groupoid used by W. Brandt and A. Baer, that we call *Baer-Brandt groupoid* (or *BB-groupoid*); indeed, a BB-groupoid may be defined as a E-groupoid satisfying the further condition

$$\bullet_6 \forall g, g'' \in G, \exists g' \in G \text{ such that } (g, g') \in G^{(2)}, (g', g'') \in G^{(2)},$$

so that, we will call an *Ehresmann-Baer-Brandt groupoid* (or *EBB-groupoid*)³, an algebraic system satisfying $\bullet_i$ $i = 1, \dots, 6$.

In view of the applications to quantum mechanics, it is important the following particular examples of EBB-groupoid (see [Co3], § II.5).

If X is an abstract (non-empty) set, let $G = X^2$, $G^{(0)} = \Delta(X^2) = \{(x, x); x \in X\}$, $r = pr_1 : (x, y) \rightarrow x$, $s = pr_2 : (x, y) \rightarrow y$ $\forall x, y \in X$ and $(x, y) \star (y, z) = (x, z)$. Then, it is easy verify that $(G, G^{(0)}, r, s, \star)$ is a EBB-groupoid, with $(x, y)_d^{-1} = (x, y)_s^{-1} = (y, x)$. It is called⁴ the *natural EBB-groupoid* on X , and denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{EBB}(X)$.

On the other hand, there exists E-groupoids that are not EBB-groupoids. For instance, if Γ is a group that acts on a set X by the action $\psi : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$, if e is the identity of Γ , and if we put⁵ $G = \Gamma \times X$, $G^{(0)} = \{e\} \times X$, $r(g, x) = x$, $s(g, x) = \psi(g, x)$ and $(g_1, x) \star (g_2, y) = (g_1 g_2, x)$ if and only if⁶ $y = \psi(g_1, x)$, then we obtain a E-groupoid, with $(g, x)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, \psi(g, x))$, called the *semi-direct product E-groupoid* of Γ by X and denoted by $\Gamma \ltimes_E X$.

Nevertheless, such a structure cannot be a EBB-groupoid if, for example, the action ψ is not transitive. In fact, for every $(g_1, x), (g_3, z) \in G$, there exists $(g_2, y) \in G$ such that $(g_1, x) \star (g_2, y) \in G^{(2)}$ and $(g_2, y) \star (g_3, z) \in G^{(2)}$

³A. Nijenhuis ([Ni]) sets the structures of groupoid in the general context of the group theory, whereas a topological characterizations of such structures is due to S. Golab ([Go]).

⁴Or *pair EBB-groupoid*, or *coarse EBB-groupoid*.

⁵In the definition of r and s , it is necessary to consider the bijection $x \rightarrow (e, x) \forall x \in X$, that identifies X with $\{e\} \times X$.

⁶Indeed, $G^{(2)} = \{((g_1, x), (g_2, y)) \in G^2; s(g_1, x) = r(g_2, y)\}$ and $s(g_1, x) = \psi(g_1, x) = g_1 x = y = r(g_2, y)$, that is $y = g_1 x$.

if and only if $y = \psi(g_1, x)$ and $z = \psi(g_2, y)$, that is, if and only if there exists $g_2 \in G$ such that $z = \psi(g_2, \psi(g_1, x))$ for given $z, \psi(g_1, x) \in X$. Therefore, if ψ is a no transitive action, follows that $\Gamma \ltimes_E X$ is a E-groupoid but not a EBB-groupoid.

The following result puts in relation the two structures of E-groupoid and EBB-groupoid (see [Wa]).

I. *A multiplicative system $(G, \mathcal{D}_\diamond, \diamond)$ is a E-groupoid if and only if there exists a unique partition $\mathcal{P}$ of G such that $\mathcal{D}_\diamond \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}} A$ and that the induced multiplicative system $(A, \diamond_A)$ be a EBB-groupoid for every $A \in \mathcal{P}$.*

For instance, if the given multiplicative system is a E-groupoid, namely $(G, G^{(0)}, r, s, \star)$ (with $\star = \diamond$), then we consider the relation

$$\sim \doteq \{(g, g'') \in G \times G; \exists g' \in G, (g, g') \in G^{(2)}, (g', g'') \in G^{(2)}\}.$$

Hence, it is possible to prove that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation, so that $\mathcal{P} = G / \sim$ is a partition of G . If we set $A^{(2)} = G^{(2)} \cap A$, $A^{(0)} = G^{(0)} \cap A$, $r_A = r|_A$, $s_A = s|_A$ and $\star_A = \star|_{A^{(2)}}$, for every $A \in \mathcal{P}$, then $(A, A^{(0)}, r_A, s_A, \star_A)$ is a EBB-groupoid.

2. The notion of convolution structure⁷

Let A be an unitary commutative ring, J a set of indices and $X = \{x_j; j \in J\} = (x_j)_{j \in J}$ a family of abstract symbols. Let

$$\langle X \rangle = \langle (x_j)_{j \in J} \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j; a_j \in A, x_j \in X \right\}$$

be the set of the formal linear combinations of the elements of $(x_j)_{j \in J}$ with coefficients on A : $a_j x_j$ must be understood as the value of a map of the type $A \times X \rightarrow \langle X \rangle$, whereas $\sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j$ have all coefficients a_j zero except a finite number; $\langle X \rangle$ is said to be the *free set* generated by X . With the operations

$$(5) \quad \left(\sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j \right) + \left(\sum_{j \in J} b_j x_j \right) = \sum_{j \in J} (a_j + b_j) x_j,$$

$$(6) \quad a \cdot \left(\sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j \right) = \sum_{j \in J} (a a_j) x_j, \quad a \in A,$$

⁷See [Gr], [No], [AM], [Vr].

$\langle X \rangle$ is a A -module, said to be the *free A -module* on X , with *base* X . In this context, it is possible to prove that its elements admits a unique decompositions of the type $\sum_{j \in J} a_j x_j$. We will denote this free A -module by $\mathcal{M}_A(X) = (\langle X \rangle, +, \cdot)$, the operations $+, \cdot$ being (5), (6). Furthermore, it is possible to prove that: a A -module $(M, +, \cdot)$ is free on $(\emptyset \neq) X \subseteq M$ if and only if, for any A -module $(M', +, \cdot)$ and for any map $\varphi : X \rightarrow M'$, there exists a unique A -homomorphism of A -modules $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\varphi = \psi|_X$ (in such a case, ψ is said to be an *A -extension* of φ , whereas X is a base of M , that is $M = \langle X \rangle$). A base identifies a unique free A -module in the sense that, if M, M' are two free A -modules with respective bases X, X' and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a bijection, then M, M' are A -isomorphic. These last results are important in the processes of linear extension from a base.

On the free A -module $\mathcal{M}_A(X) = (\langle X \rangle, +, \cdot)$ it is possible to establish a structure of unitary ring (in general, non-commutative) by a precise⁸ product $*$ (of *convolution*) of elements of $\langle X \rangle$. The resulting algebraic structure⁹ $\mathcal{C}_A(X) = (\langle X \rangle, +, \cdot, *)$, is said to be a *convolution structure* associated to the free A -module $\mathcal{M}_A(X)$.

It is important to point out that such structure is strictly related to the choice of the product $*$, and, to this purpose, the following remarks are meaningful. When the set X is already endowed with a given algebraic structure of the type $(X, \diamond)$ (for example, that of EBB-groupoid), formal coherence principles imposes that such (convolution) product must be 'predetermined' by such preexistent structure. For instance, it is usually required such product to be the result of the linear A -extension of the operation $\diamond$, eventually taking into account further informal requirements (of physical nature).

In particular, in view of the next arguments, A will be a scalar field $\mathbb{K}$, so that the A -module $(\langle X \rangle, +, \cdot)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear space that will be extended to a linear $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra (often said the *convolution $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra* of the given A -module), by means a convolution product.

Historically, the first structures of convolution $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras were the so-called *group algebra*, convolution structures made on a given group. Group algebras were introduced (for finite groups) by T.Molien and G. Frobenius, for investi-

⁸A priori, the formal choice of this convolution product may be arbitrary. Nevertheless, in the mathematical physics context, often there are cases where such choice is forced by some 'ad hoc' physical reasons (as, for example, causality – see [Vr]).

⁹The unitary ring (in general, non-commutative) of this structure $\mathcal{C}_A(X)$, is $(\langle X \rangle, +, *)$, whereas the convolution product $*$ and the A -module product $\cdot$, are linked by the compatibility relation $a \cdot (x * y) = (a \cdot x) * y = x * (a \cdot y) \quad \forall a \in A, \forall x, y \in \langle X \rangle$; as usual, the product $\cdot$ is implicit.

gations of representations of these groups. Subsequently, at the beginning of the 20th-century, I. Schur ([Sh]) and H. Weyl ([We]) used systematically the group algebras for investigations of compact groups and commutative locally compact groups.

For applications of group algebra structures to quantum mechanics, see, for example, [Lo].

3. The notion of EBB-groupoid algebra

With any EBB-groupoid, a unique, well defined, natural structure of linear $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra is associated by means a precise convolution product $*$.

If $\mathbb{K}$ is a field, let us consider the free $\mathbb{K}$ -module generated by the support of the given EBB-groupoid, hence we will consider the related convolution structure provided by an adapted convolution product. Such a group algebra is more appropriately called the *EBB-groupoid algebra* associated to the given EBB-groupoid (although it is nothing else than a group algebra on the support of a EBB-groupoid).

If $\mathcal{G} = (G, G^{(0)}, r, s, \star)$ is a EBB-groupoid and $\mathbb{K}$ is a scalar field, we put $X = G$, and thus $\langle G \rangle = \{ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g)g; \lambda(g) \in \mathbb{K}, \forall g \in G \}$ is the set of the formal combinations (with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$) of elements of G , equipped with the operations

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g)g \right) + \left(\sum_{g \in G} \mu(g)g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} (\lambda(g) + \mu(g))g,$$

$$(2) \quad \mu \cdot \left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g)g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} (\mu\lambda(g))g \quad \forall \mu \in \mathbb{K}.$$

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}(G) = (\langle G \rangle, +, \cdot)$ is a free $\mathbb{K}$ -module (that is a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear space) with base G . We define the convolution product

$$(3) \quad \left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g)g \right) * \left(\sum_{g \in G} \mu(g)g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \xi(g)g$$

where (with Cauchy)

$$(4) \quad \xi(g) = \sum_{g_1 \star g_2 = g} \lambda(g_1)\mu(g_2) \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Therefore, it is immediate to verify that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{K}}(G) = (\langle G \rangle, +, \cdot, *)$, as convolution structure associated to $\mathcal{M}(G)$, is a linear $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, that we will call the *EBB-groupoid algebra* (over the field $\mathbb{K}$) associated to the EBB-groupoid $\mathcal{G} = (G, G^{(0)}, r, s, \star)$. We will denote it by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$. As regards what has been said at the end of § 2, it is possible a (unique) linear extension of the algebraic relations of the EBB-groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G})$.

4. Brief outlines of atomic spectroscopy¹⁰

The physical phenomenology leading to the first theoretical formulations of quantum mechanics, were the atomic spectroscopy of emission and absorption of electromagnetic waves. Nevertheless, the classical physics failed in the interpretation of the structure of the observed atomic spectra of the various chemical elements. An atomic spectrum of emission [absorption] of an arbitrary chemical element, is characterized by a well defined sequence of spectral lines. Each spectroscopic line is related to the emission [absorption] of an electromagnetic radiation of well precise frequency, from the atom of the given chemical element under examination. Hence, each spectral line is identified by that well-determined frequency ν of the e.m. radiation corresponding to it. Moreover, such lines appears organized into groups called (*spectral*) *series* (of the given spectrum).

From these experimental investigations, born the atomic spectroscopy of the beginning of the 20th-century. It were, mainly, a coherent set of qualitative and descriptive rules concerning the symmetry and regularity properties of these spectral lines. The new quantum theory was built on the basis of it.

The first semi-quantitative spectroscopic rules has been formulated with the quantum theory of N.H. Bohr, A. Sommerfeld and W. Wilson in the years 1913-1914, on the basis of the pioneering works of M. Planck, A. Einstein, E. Rutherford, and others.

Nevertheless, in experimental spectroscopy, the works of J.R. Rydberg (see [38]) and W. Ritz (see [38]) got a prominent rule, and led to the formulation of their homonymous principle.

From the analysis of the (spectral) series of lines of several single atomic spectra, Rydberg established the following (*Rydberg*) *combination principle*:

- ₁ each spectral lines, of any series, can be described in terms of suitable *spectral terms* $T_{(s)}(n)$ $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- ₂ the frequency (or the wave number) of a line is given by a relation of the type

$$(\spadesuit) \quad \nu_{(n,n')}^{(s,s')} = T_{(s')}(n') - T_{(s)}(n)$$

where s, s' are indices of spectral series (of lines), n, n' indices of lines (of spectral series), and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{(s)}(n) = 0$ for any fixed s ;

¹⁰See [Em], Chapt. 7, and [An], [Ec], [Fe], [Gi], [Hu], [Lu].

- ₃ there are precise *selection rules* that are imposed instructions¹¹ on the possible values s, s' of ($\spadesuit$) in order that the frequency predicted by this formula represents observed spectral lines.

Successively, Ritz stated that:

- 1) on the basis of the *Rydberg's formula*, found for the hydrogenoid atoms, according to

$$T_{(s)}(n) = R_H / (n - a_s)^2$$

(where R_H is a universal constant (of Rydberg) and $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ are real constants, typical of each hydrogenoid atom, and that characterizes the different spectral series of its spectrum), it is possible to formulate, for the spectral terms, the following more general expression

$$T_{(s)}(n) = \Phi_{(s)}(n)(K/n^2) \quad (\text{Ritz-Rydberg formula})$$

where K is a universal constant, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{(s)}(n) = 1$ for each fixed s ;

- 2) the frequencies of the lines of each spectral series (that is, for each fixed $s' = s$), verify the following *Ritz's combination* (or *composition*) law $\nu_{(i,j)}^{(s)} + \nu_{(j,k)}^{(s)} = \nu_{(i,k)}^{(s)}$.

Subsequently, Ritz verified the validity of law 2) also for the lines of different series, reaching to a more general *Ritz-Rydberg composition law*¹²:

$$(\clubsuit) \quad \nu_{(i,j)}^{(s,s')} + \nu_{(j,k)}^{(s,s')} = \nu_{(i,k)}^{(s,s')} \quad \forall s, s', \quad \forall i, j, k.$$

The principle achieved by the above conditions 1) and 2), with the last extension due to Ritz, it is usually called the *Ritz-Rydberg composition principle* (as extension of the Rydberg's composition principle). The main interesting part of this principle is the Ritz-Rydberg composition law¹³ $\nu_{(i,j)} + \nu_{(j,k)} = \nu_{(i,k)}$. The principle has been confirmed by a large class of spectroscopic phenomena, ranging from atomic spectra to the molecular ones, from those optical to the X ray spectra, and so on.

¹¹For instance, a simple selection rule is $|s - s'| = 1$. In any case, according to these rules, not every possible combination of values of s, s' , corresponds to an effectively observed spectral lines, but only those that satisfy well defined algebraic relations.

¹²Independently by eventual selection rules on s, s' , as, instead, required by the Rydberg's combination principle (see •₃). Moreover, ($\clubsuit$) is verified by ($\spadesuit$), under the suitable selection rules.

¹³Neglecting the series indices (s, s') .

The class of the fundamental Franck-Hertz experiments ([FH]; [CCP], Chap. IV, § 10; [Her]), experimentally confirmed the existence of discrete energy levels in an atom (predicted by N.H. Bohr), each characterized by a certain frequency ν ($= E/h$, E being the typical energy value of the given level). Hence, the set of the energy levels of an atom is characterized by a well determined set of frequencies $\mathcal{F}_I = \{\nu_i; \nu_i \in \mathbb{R}^+, i \in I \subseteq \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, that the Franck-Hertz experiences proved to be finite or countable, hence labelled by a subset I of $\mathbb{N}$. Since I is a non-empty subset of $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$ (with the usual order), $\mathcal{F}_I$ can be ordered according to the increasing indices of I , so that we can assume that the map $\rho: i \rightarrow \nu_i \in \mathcal{F}_I \ \forall i \in I$ is monotonically increasing and thus $I \cong \mathcal{F}_I$. Moreover, at each energy level correspond the energy $E_i = h\nu_i$, where h is the Planck's constant. Furthermore, we recall another basic principle of the spectroscopy, namely the *principle of A. Conway* (see [Mo], Chapt. 13, § 11), according to any spectral line is produced, once at a time, by a unique (perinuclear) electron, with $\nu_{ij} \neq 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

A spectral line correspond to the electromagnetic radiation involved in the transition of an electron from a given level to another. If, throughout such transition $i \rightarrow j$, the initial energy level is ν_i and the final is ν_j , with $i \neq j$, then the only *physical observable* (according to P.A.M. Dirac – see [Di2]) is the electromagnetic radiation of emission (if $i > j$), or of absorption (if $i < j$), with frequency $\nu_{i \rightarrow j} = \nu_j - \nu_i = (E_j - E_i)/h$. In the emission it is $\nu_{i \rightarrow j} < 0$, whereas in the absorption it is $\nu_{i \rightarrow j} > 0$. Let we put¹⁴ $\nu_{i \rightarrow j} = \nu_{ij}$, so that, if $\nu_{ij} = \nu_j - \nu_i < 0$, then we have an emission line, whereas, if $\nu_{ji} = -\nu_{ij} > 0$, then we have an absorption line. It follows the existence of a simple (opposite) symmetry, called the *Kirchhoff-Bunsen (inversion) symmetry* (or *KB-symmetry*), between the emission spectrum and the absorption spectrum of the same atom, given by $\nu_{ij} = -\nu_{ji}$ (and that follows from the Ritz-Rydberg composition law). Therefore, the lines of the atomic spectrum (of emission/absorption) of a chemical element, are represented (in $\mathbb{R}$) by the elements of the set $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I = \{\nu_{ij} = \nu_j - \nu_i; i, j \in I \subseteq \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, symmetric respect to the origin, whose positive part represents the absorption spectrum, whereas that negative represents the emission spectrum.

Finally, other spectroscopic principles prescribes symmetries and regularities of this set, from which it is possible infer further algebraic properties of it. However, as seen, between these principles, the Ritz-Rydberg combination principle has a prominent rule in justifies the intrinsic non-commutativity of the formal quantum theory.

¹⁴With $\nu_{(i,j)} = \nu_{ij}$.

5. The Heisenberg-Born-Jordan EBB-groupoid

In general, $(\Delta\mathcal{F}_I; +)$ is not a subgroup of the commutative group $(\mathbb{R}; +)$ because, if $\nu_{ij}, \nu_{lk} \in \Delta\mathcal{F}_I$, not even $\nu_{ij} \pm \nu_{lk}$ correspond to an observed spectral line, that is may be $\nu_{ij} \pm \nu_{lk} \notin \Delta\mathcal{F}_I$. The elements of $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I$ combines by means the Ritz-Rydberg combination principle, according to $\nu_{ij} + \nu_{lk} \in \Delta\mathcal{F}_I$ if and only if¹⁵ $j = l$, whence $\nu_{ij} + \nu_{lk} = \nu_{ik}$. It is just this last principle that make $+$ a partial law¹⁶ in $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I$, so that $(\Delta\mathcal{F}_I; +)$ not only is not a subgroup, but neither a groupoid in the sense of Universal Algebra (see [Co], or¹⁷ [Bou]). We will prove, instead, that it is a EBB-groupoid. Indeed, if $G = \Delta\mathcal{F}_I$, we have $G^{(0)} = \mathcal{F}_I$, $r(\nu_{ij}) = \nu_i$, and $s(\nu_{ij}) = \nu_j$, with $\nu_{ij} \star \nu_{lk}$ defined on $G^{(2)} = \{(\nu_{ij}, \nu_{lk}) \in \Delta\mathcal{F}_I \times \Delta\mathcal{F}_I; s(\nu_{ij}) = r(\nu_{lk})\}$. Therefore, since $s(\nu_{ij}) = \nu_j = \nu_l = r(\nu_{lk})$, we have $\nu_j = \nu_l$, from which (by the bijectivity of $\rho : i \rightarrow \nu_i$ of § 4) follows that $j = l$, hence $\nu_{ij} \star \nu_{lk} = \nu_{ij} \star \nu_{jk}$. Thus, if $\star = \tilde{+}$, we have¹⁸ $\nu_{ij} \star \nu_{jk} = \nu_{ij} \tilde{+} \nu_{jk} = \nu_{ik}$ by the Ritz-Rydberg composition law, and hence it is immediate to verify that $\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I) = (\Delta\mathcal{F}_I, \mathcal{F}_I, r, s, \tilde{+})$ is a EBB-groupoid, said to be¹⁹ the *Heisenberg-Born-Jordan EBB-groupoid* (or *HBJ EBB-groupoid*).

There are many different representation (or equivalent models) of $\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)$. We will consider a first representation in $\mathbb{N}^2$. As regard what has been said above, the map $\xi : \nu_{ij} \rightarrow (i, j) \in I^2 (\subseteq \mathbb{N}^2) \quad \forall \nu_{ij} \in \Delta\mathcal{F}_I$ is bijective, so that $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I \cong I^2$, that is I^2 is a Cartesian representation of $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I$. Considering the Cartesian lattice $\mathbb{N}^2$, if $\Delta(\mathbb{N}^2) = \{(i, i); i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then the points $(i, j) \in I^2$ with $i < j$ [$i > j$] represents the lines of the absorption [emission] spectrum, whereas the points with $i = j$ represents the energy levels of the atom because, being $\rho^{-1} : \nu_i \rightarrow i \in I \quad \forall \nu_i \in \mathcal{F}_I$ bijective and $\alpha : i \rightarrow (i, i)$ bijection of $\mathbb{N}$ in $\Delta(\mathbb{N}^2)$, we have that $(\alpha \circ \rho^{-1})(\mathcal{F}_I) = \{(i, i); i \in I\} = \Delta(I^2) (\subseteq \Delta(\mathbb{N}^2))$ represents $\mathcal{F}_I$ in $\mathbb{N}^2$.

Thus, we have a Cartesian representation (in $\mathbb{N}^2$) of the atomic spectrum $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I$: the upper half plane respect to $\Delta(\mathbb{N}^2)$ represents the absorption spectrum, whereas the lower half plane represents the emission spectrum, and finally $\Delta(I^2)$ represents the set of energy levels of the atom. The points of

¹⁵This last condition suggests the formal presence of a EBB-groupoid structure. Indeed, this structure is endowed with a partial binary operation defined on a domain $G^{(2)} = \{(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G; s(g_1) = r(g_2)\}$, where the condition $s(g_1) = r(g_2)$ correspond to $j = l$, as we shall see.

¹⁶That is, do not defined for all possible pairs (ν_{ij}, ν_{lk}) .

¹⁷This Author introduces a structure that he call *magma*, corresponding to the notion of groupoid of Universal Algebra.

¹⁸ $\tilde{+}$ denotes the usual addition of $(\mathbb{R}; +)$, only partially defined on $G^{(2)} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and that, substantially, represents the Ritz-Rydberg composition law.

¹⁹The motivation for this terminology will be given at § 6.

the two emission and absorption half planes, are pairwise correlated by the (inversion) Kirchhoff-Bunsen symmetry, that it is a simple reflexive symmetry²⁰ respect to $\Delta(\mathbb{N}^2)$.

The map $\xi^{-1} : (i, j) \in I^2 \rightarrow \nu_{ij}$ provides the kinematical time evolution of a dynamic system through the coordinate $\mathbf{q}$ and the momentum $\mathbf{p}$ given by the (Hermitian) matrices

$$(\star) \quad \mathbf{q} = [q_{ij} e^{2\pi i \nu_{ij} t}], \quad \mathbf{p} = [p_{ij} e^{2\pi i \nu_{ij} t}] \quad (\text{Heisenberg's representation}),$$

from which follows that any other physical observable $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ can always be written in the form $\mathbf{g} = [g_{ij} e^{2\pi i \nu_{ij} t}]$. The celebrated canonical commutation relations between $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{p}$, does follows from the Kuhn-Thomas relation (interpreted according to Perturbation Theory; see [BJ]).

Finally, we have the identifications $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I \cong I^2 \cong \mathcal{F}_I^2$, that give a representation (in $\mathbb{N}^2$) of the algebraic system $\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)$. In fact, if we put $X = I$, it is immediate to verify that $\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)$ is identifiable (since isomorphic to it) with the natural EBB-groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{Br}(X)$ (of the last part of § 1) with $X = I$, $G = I^2$, $G^{(0)} = \Delta(I^2)$, $r : (i, j) \rightarrow i$, $s : (i, j) \rightarrow j$, $(i, j) \star (j, k) = (i, k)$; $\mathcal{G}_{Br}(I)$ is said to be the *Heisenberg-Born-Jordan natural EBB-groupoid*.

Two EBB-groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1 = (G_1, G_1^{(0)}, r_1, s_1, \star_1)$, $\mathcal{G}_2 = (G_2, G_2^{(0)}, r_2, s_2, \star_2)$ are said²¹ *isomorphic* if there exists $\psi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, $\psi_0 : G_1^{(0)} \rightarrow G_2^{(0)}$ both bijective, such that $r_2 \circ \psi = \psi_0 \circ r_1$, $s_2 \circ \psi = s_1 \circ \psi_0$ and $\psi(g \star_1 g') = \psi(g) \star_2 \psi(g') \quad \forall g, g' \in G_1$. In this case, we will write $\mathcal{G}_1 \cong \mathcal{G}_2$.

If $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)$, $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{G}_{Br}(I)$, the maps $\psi : \nu_{ij} \rightarrow (i, j) \in I^2 \quad \forall \nu_{ij} \in \Delta\mathcal{F}_I$, $\psi_0 : \nu_i \rightarrow (i, i) \in \Delta(I^2) \quad \forall \nu_i \in \mathcal{F}_I$, are bijective and make isomorphic the two given B-groupoid²², that is $\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I) \cong \mathcal{G}_{Br}(I)$, hence they are identifiable.

6. The Heisenberg-Born-Jordan EBB-groupoid algebra

Let us prove that the EBB-groupoid algebra of the Heisenberg-Born-Jordan EBB-groupoid, in general, is a non-commutative linear $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, isomorphic, in the finite dimensional case, to a well defined matrix $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra.

First, from $\mathcal{G}_{Br}(I) \cong \mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)$, follows that²³ $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{Br}(I)) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I))$.

²⁰From this symmetry it is possible to construct a second equivalent representation of the given EBB-groupoid.

²¹This definition of isomorphism must be intended in the sense of category theory.

²²For instance, we have $\psi(\nu_{ij} \tilde{\nu}_{jk}) = \psi(\nu_{ik}) = (i, k) = (i, j) \star (j, k) = \psi(\nu_{ij}) \star \psi(\nu_{jk})$, the remaining conditions being easily verified.

²³Indeed, the base of the free $\mathbb{K}$ -modules constructed on such EBB-groupoid, that is to say their supports, are respectively I^2 and $\Delta\mathcal{F}_I$, and since $I^2 \cong \Delta\mathcal{F}_I$, follows that the respective $\mathbb{K}$ -modules are $\mathbb{K}$ -isomorphic (see § 3), whence $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{Br}(I)) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I))$.

These two isomorphic structures represent the so called²⁴ *Heisenberg-Born-Jordan EBB-groupoid algebra* (or *HBJ EBB-algebra*).

It is $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)) = (\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle, +, \cdot, *)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle &= \left\{ \sum_{g \in \Delta \mathcal{F}_I} \lambda(g)g; \lambda(g) \in \mathbb{K}, g \in \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \right\} = \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\nu_{ij} \in \Delta \mathcal{F}_I} \lambda(\nu_{ij})\nu_{ij}; \lambda(\nu_{ij}) \in \mathbb{K}, \nu_{ij} \in \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

the operations $+$, $\cdot$ being definite as in (1), (2), whereas the convolution product $*$ is defined as follow. If we consider two arbitrary elements of $\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle$, their convolution product must be an element of this set, and hence it is expressible as unique linear combination of the base elements of $\Delta \mathcal{F}_I$. Hence, in general, we have

$$\left(\sum_{\nu_{ij} \in \Delta \mathcal{F}_I} \lambda(\nu_{ij})\nu_{ij} \right) * \left(\sum_{\nu_{lk} \in \Delta \mathcal{F}_I} \mu(\nu_{lk})\nu_{lk} \right) = \sum_{\nu_{ps} \in \Delta \mathcal{F}_I} \xi(\nu_{ps})\nu_{ps},$$

where $\xi(\nu_{ps}) \in \mathbb{K}$ are uniquely determined by:

$$\xi(\nu_{ps}) \doteq \sum_{\nu_{ij} \dot{+} \nu_{lk} = \nu_{ps}} \lambda(\nu_{ij})\mu(\nu_{lk}),$$

and, since $\nu_{ij} \dot{+} \nu_{lk}$ is defined if and only if $s(\nu_{ij}) = r(\nu_{lk})$, that is $j = l$, we have $\nu_{ij} \dot{+} \nu_{lk} = \nu_{ij} \dot{+} \nu_{jk} = \nu_{ik}$, and thus $\nu_{ps} = \nu_{ik}$, that is $p = i, s = k$, so that

$$(\diamond) \quad \xi(\nu_{ik}) = \sum_{\nu_{ij} \dot{+} \nu_{jk} = \nu_{ik}} \lambda(\nu_{ij})\mu(\nu_{jk}).$$

In the last sum, the indexes i, k are saturated while $j(\in I)$ is free, so that the sum is extended only to last index, that is we can write²⁵

$$(\heartsuit) \quad \xi(\nu_{ik}) = \sum_{j \in I} \lambda(\nu_{ij})\mu(\nu_{jk})$$

²⁴See § 7.

²⁵All these convolution structures are based on the Rytz-Rydberg composition law, and, the latter, has been at the foundations of the works of W. Heisenberg, M. Born and P. Jordan on matrix mechanics (see [An], [BJ], [Di1], [Di2], [Ec], [Fe], [Gi], [HBJ], [He1,2], [Hu], [Lu], [Sch]). For modern quantum theories see [St], [Em], whereas for the geometric developments of the non-commutativity, and its physical applications, see [Co1], [Co2].

and this is the expression of the generic element of the product²⁶ matrix of the two formal matrices²⁷ $[\lambda(\nu_{ij})], [\mu(\nu_{lk})]$. Then, in general, the Heisenberg-Born-Jordan EBB-groupoid algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I))$, is non-commutative, and, if $\text{card } I < \infty$, then it is isomorphic to a matrix $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra (see [Pi], Chap. 5, Theor. 3-2, or [Bou], [Ma], [Mac]). Nevertheless, since, in general, I is not finite, it follows that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I))$ is not a finitely generated $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra.

7. The notion of Hopf algebra²⁸

Let $V_{\mathbb{K}}$ be a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear space and id its identity map. A $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra is an algebraic system $\mathcal{A} = (V_{\mathbb{K}}, m, \eta)$ with $m : V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ (*product*) and $\eta : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow V$ (*unit*) $\mathbb{K}$ -linear maps such that: 1) (*associativity*) $m \circ (m \otimes \text{id}) = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes m)$; 2) $m \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}) = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes \eta) = \text{id}$. We have $m(a \otimes b) = ab$. A $\mathbb{K}$ -coalgebra is an algebraic system $c\mathcal{A} = (V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ with $\Delta : V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ (*coproduct*) and $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ (*counit*) $\mathbb{K}$ -linear maps such that: 1') (*coassociativity*) $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$; 2') $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = \text{id}$. A $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra is an algebraic system $b\mathcal{A} = (V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon, m, \eta)$ such that $(V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -coalgebra, $(V_{\mathbb{K}}, m, \eta)$ a $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra and Δ, ε $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras homomorphism (see [Ka], Chapt. III, Theor. III.2.1). Let $f \in \text{End}(V_{\mathbb{K}})$, and $\{v_i; i \in I\}$ a base of $V_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V_{\mathbb{K}} = \text{card } I$; then $\{v_i \otimes v_j; (i, j) \in I^2\}$ is a base of $V \otimes V$, so that $v = \sum_{(i,j) \in I_v} \lambda_{ij}(v) v_i \otimes v_j$ for certain $\lambda_{ij}(v) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $I_v \subseteq I^2$, for any $v \in V \otimes V$. Then, we can use the following (*Sweedler sigma notation*) according to we will write simply $v = \sum_{(v)} v' \otimes v''$ for given $v', v'' \in V_{\mathbb{K}}$; in particular, since $\Delta(v) \in V \otimes V \quad \forall v \in V_{\mathbb{K}}$, we will have $\Delta(v) = \sum_{(v)} v' \otimes v''$. If $(V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -coalgebra and $(W_{\mathbb{K}}, m, \eta)$ a $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, for each $f, g \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ we have $f \otimes g \in \text{Hom}(V \otimes V, W \otimes W)$, so that, by exploiting the relations

$$V \xrightarrow{\Delta} V \otimes V \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} W \otimes W \xrightarrow{m} W,$$

it is possible to consider the composition map $m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$, denoted by $f \hat{*} g$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned} (f \hat{*} g)(v) &= (m \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta)(v) = (m \circ (f \otimes g))(\Delta(v)) = \\ &= (m \circ (f \otimes g))\left(\sum_{(v)} v' \otimes v''\right) = m\left(\sum_{(v)} (f \otimes g)(v' \otimes v'')\right) = \end{aligned}$$

²⁶Rows by columns.

²⁷Of order $\text{card } I$.

²⁸We follow [Ka], [KS], [CP], [Md], and [Mn], [As].

$$= m\left(\sum_{(v)} f(v') \otimes g(v'')\right) = \sum_{(v)} f(v')g(v'') \quad \forall v \in V,$$

defining the (internal) binary operation (also called *convolution*)

$$\hat{*} : Hom(V, W) \times Hom(V, W) \rightarrow Hom(V, W)$$

$$(f, g) \rightsquigarrow f \hat{*} g \quad \forall f, g \in Hom(V, W).$$

If $b\mathcal{A} = (V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon, m, \eta)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra, what said above also subsists if we put $V = W$; an element $a \in End(V)$ is said to be an *antipode* of $b\mathcal{A}$ if $a \hat{*} id = id \hat{*} a = \eta \circ \varepsilon$, and the algebraic system $(b\mathcal{A}, a)$ is called a *Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra*²⁹ of support V_K .

The commutativity (or not) [cocommutativity (or not)] of such a structure, follows from the commutativity (or not) [cocommutativity (or not)] of the linear $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra $(V_{\mathbb{K}}, m, \eta)$ [$\mathbb{K}$ -coalgebra $(V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$].

A $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra $b\mathcal{A} = (V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon, m, \eta)$ is said to be *quasi-cocommutative* if there exists an invertible element R (called an *universal R-matrix*) of $V_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $\Delta^{op}(x) = R\Delta(x)R^{-1} \quad \forall x \in V_{\mathbb{K}}$, where $\Delta^{op} = \tau_{V_{\mathbb{K}}, V_{\mathbb{K}}} \circ \Delta$ is the opposite coproduct on $V_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\tau_{V_{\mathbb{K}}, V_{\mathbb{K}}}$ is the flip switching the factors. We will denote such a quasi-cocommutative $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra with $(V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon, m, \eta, R)$.

Any cocommutative $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra is also quasi-cocommutative with universal R-matrix $R = 1_{V_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes 1_{V_{\mathbb{K}}}$. A Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra whose underling $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra has a universal R-matrix, is said to be a *quasi-cocommutative Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra*.

For any $R \in V_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{K}}$, we set $R_{12} = R \otimes 1_{V_{\mathbb{K}}} \in V_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes V_{\mathbb{K}} = \bigotimes^3 V_{\mathbb{K}}$, $R_{23} = 1_{V_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes R \in \bigotimes^3 V_{\mathbb{K}}$, $R_{13} = (id \otimes \tau_{V_{\mathbb{K}}, V_{\mathbb{K}}})(R_{12}) = (\tau_{V_{\mathbb{K}}, V_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes id)(R_{23}) \in \bigotimes^3 V_{\mathbb{K}}$. A quasi-cocommutative $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra [Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra] $b\mathcal{A} = (V_{\mathbb{K}}, \Delta, \varepsilon, m, \eta, R)$ [($b\mathcal{A}, a$)] is *braided* (or *quasi-triangular*) if the universal R-matrix R satisfies the relations $(\Delta \otimes id_{V_{\mathbb{K}}})(R) = R_{13}R_{23}$ and $(id_{V_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}$. All cocommutative bialgebras are braided with universal R-matrix $R = 1_{V_{\mathbb{K}}} \otimes 1_{V_{\mathbb{K}}}$. Often, a non-cocommutative braided Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra is called a *quantum group*.

8. The HBJ EBBH-algebra

It is possible to associate a natural structure of (non-commutative) braided Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra to the HBJ EBB-algebra. So, we will get an explicit example of non-commutative Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, that can be taken as basic structure of a (particular) quantum group.

²⁹In a $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra, may exist, at most, only one antipode (see [Ka], Def. III.3.2., p. 51).

On $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)) = (\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle, +, \cdot, *)$, let us consider the unique (see § 2) linear extension $\tilde{i}$ of the inversion map $i_G : g \rightarrow g^{-1}$ (with $G = \Delta \mathcal{F}_I$) to $\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle$ and set³⁰ (if necessary, in the tensor product algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$): $V_{\mathbb{K}} = (\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle, +, \cdot)$, $m = *$, $\eta = \eta(1)$ (= 1 the unit of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I))$), $\Delta(x) = x \otimes x$ (group-like elements), $\varepsilon(x) = 1 \ \forall x \in \langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle$ and $a = \tilde{i} \in \text{End}(\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(m(x, y)) &= \Delta(x * y) = (x * y) \otimes (x * y) =^{31} \\ &= (x \otimes y) * (x \otimes y) = \Delta(x) * \Delta(y) = m(\Delta(x), \Delta(y)) \ \forall x, y \in \langle \Delta(\mathcal{F}_I) \rangle, \\ \varepsilon(m(x, y)) &= \varepsilon(x * y) = \varepsilon(z) = 1 = 1 * 1 = \\ &= \varepsilon(x) * \varepsilon(y) = m(\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon(y)) \ \forall x, y \in \langle \Delta(\mathcal{F}_I) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(where $z = m(x, y)$, and $\varepsilon(x) = 1 \ \forall x \in \Delta(\mathcal{F}_I)$), so that Δ, ε are homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras.

Therefore, it is immediate to prove that $b\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{G}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I)) = (\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle, \Delta, \varepsilon, m, \eta)$ is a (non-commutative) $\mathbb{K}$ -bialgebra (see, also, [KS], Chapt. I, § 1.2.6., Example 7). In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a \hat{*} \text{id})(x) &= (m \circ (\tilde{i} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta)(x) = m(\tilde{i} \otimes \text{id})(x \otimes x) = m(\tilde{i}(x) \otimes x) = \\ &= m(x^{-1} \otimes x) =^{32} x^{-1} * x = 1 = (\eta \circ \varepsilon)(x) (= (\text{id} \hat{*} a)(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \Delta(\mathcal{F}_I)$. Hence $a \hat{*} \text{id} = \text{id} \hat{*} a = \eta \circ \varepsilon$ and therefore a is an antipode, that is $(b\mathcal{A}_{HBJ}(\mathcal{F}_I), a)$ is a non-commutative Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra³³, naturally braided by $R = 1 \otimes 1$. We will denote it by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{F}_I)$, and will be said the³⁴ *Heisenberg-Born-Jordan EBBH-algebra* (or *HBJ EBBH-algebra*). As already said, this last structure may be regarded as the basic structure of a quantum group that also got, a posteriori, a more physical motivation in its name. In conclusion, in the HBJ EBBH-algebra may be recognized the eventually quantic origins of the basilar structure of quantum group, although it has been obtained endowing the basic EBB-groupoid algebra with a trivial structure of braided Hopf $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra. There are further, well-known, (over) structures and properties on such EBB-groupoid algebra when I is finite (since we shall get a finitely generated algebra), but very few when I is infinite (that is, when the algebra is not finitely generated).

³⁰In the notations of § 7.

³¹See [Ka], Chapt. II, § II.4.

³²It is enough consider the unique extension of $\star$ to $\langle \Delta \mathcal{F}_I \rangle$, coherently with $*$ (see $(\diamond), (\heartsuit)$) and 5. of § 1.

³³Furthermore, it is also a $*$ -Hopf algebra with the matrix transposition, when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{card } I < \infty$.

³⁴HBJ EBBH-algebra stands for *Heisenberg-Born-Jordan Ehresmann-Baer-Brandt-Hopf algebra*.

In this first paper, we have considered the only possible (although trivial) structure of braided non-commutative Hopf algebra on such EBB-groupoid algebra, when I is infinite (no finitely generation).

In a further paper, we shall try to find other non-trivial structure on it, in spite of its (interesting) no finitely (algebraic) generation.

References

- [An] S. Antoci, *Quando la Fisica parlava Tedesco*, Quaderni del Gruppo Nazionale di Fisica Matematica (GNFM) del CNR, Firenze, 2004.
- [As] P. Aschieri, *On the Geometry of Inhomogeneous Quantum Groups*, Tesi di Perfezionamento, Scuola Normale Superiore (SNS), Pisa, 1999.
- [AM] M.F. Atiyah, I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Comp., Reading (Massachusetts), 1969 (tr. it. *Introduzione all'Algebra Commutativa*, Feltrinelli, Milano, 1981).
- [Ba] A. Baer, *Zur Einführung des Scharbegriffs*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 160 (1929) 199-207.
- [BFFLS] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, *Deformation Theory and Quantization I, II*, Annals of Physics, 111 (1978) 61-110, 111-151.
- [BJ] M. Born, P. Jordan, Zeitschrift für Physik, 34 (1925) 858.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre I*, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [Br] W. Brandt, *Über eine verallgemeinerung des gruppenbegriffes*, Mathematischen Annalen, 96 (1926) 360-366.
- [CW] A. Cannas da Silva, A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, 1998.
- [CCP] P. Caldirola, R. Cirelli, G.M. Prosperi, *Introduzione alla Fisica Teorica*, UTET, Torino, 1982.
- [CP] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Co] P.M. Cohn, *Universal Algebra*, Harper and Row, New York, 1965 (tr. it. *Algebra Universale*, Feltrinelli, Milano, 1971).
- [Co1] A. Connes, *Géométrie non commutative*, InterEditions, Paris, 1990.
- [Co2] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, in: *Symposium on the Current State and Prospects of Mathematics, Barcelona, June, 1991*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Co3] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1994.
- [Di1] P.A.M. Dirac, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, A109 (1925) 642.

- [Di2] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Clarendon Press, London, 1931.
- [Dr1] V.G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 32 (1985) 254-258.
- [Dr2] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, 1986*, pp. 798-820, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rod Island, 1987.
- [Ec] C. Eckart, The Physical Review, 28 (1926) 711.
- [Eh] C. Ehresmann, *Gattungen von lokalen Strukturen*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Math. Vereinigung, 60 (1957) 49-77.
- [EM] S. Eilenberg, S. MacLane, *General theory of natural equivalence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 58 (1945) 231-294.
- [ES] S. Eilenberg, N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1952.
- [Em] G.G. Emch, *Mathematical and Conceptual Foundations of 20th-Century Physics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [Fa] L.D. Faddeev, *Integrable models in (1+1)-dimensional QFT*, Les Houches 1982, Elsevier Science Publishers, 1984.
- [FST] L.D. Faddeev, E.K. Sklyanin, L.A. Takhtajan, *The quantum inverse problem*, Theor. Math. Phys., 40 (1979) 194-220.
- [FT] L. Faddeev, L.A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian Approach to Solitons Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1987.
- [Fe] B. Ferretti, *Le Radici Classiche della Meccanica Quantica*, Boringhieri, Torino, 1980.
- [FH] J. Franck, G. Hertz, Verh. D. Phys. Ges., 16 (1914) 457, 512.
- [Gi] E. Giordano, *Origini e Sviluppi della Meccanica Ondulatoria e della Meccanica delle Matrici*, Istituto di Fisica dell'Università di Parma, Parma, 1974.
- [Go] S. Golab, *Über den Begriff der Pseudogruppe von Transformationen*, Math. Annalen, 116 (1939) 768-780.
- [Gr] K. Gruenberg, *Una Introduzione all'Algebra Omologica*, Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 2002.
- [HBJ] W. Heisenberg, M. Born, P. Jordan, Zeitschrift für Physik, 35 (1926) 557.
- [He1] W. Heisenberg, Zeitschrift für Physik, 33 (1925) 879;
- [He2] W. Heisenberg, *Die Physikalischen Prinzipien der Quantentheorie*, S. Hirzel Verlag, Lipsia, 1930 (tr. it. *I Principi Fisici della Teoria dei Quanti*, Boringhieri, Torino, 1976).
- [Her] G. Herzberg, *Atomspektren und Atomstruktur*, Th. Steinkopff, Dresda, 1936 (tr. it. *Spettri Atomici e Struttura Atomica*, Boringhieri, Torino, 1961).
- [Hi] P.J. Higgins, *Categories and Groupoids*, D. Van Nostrand Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, 1971.

- [Hu] F. Hund, *Geschichte der Quantentheorie*, Bibliographisches Institut AG, Zurigo, 1975 (tr. it. *Storia della Teoria dei Quanti*, Boringhieri, Torino, 1980).
- [Ji1] M. Jimbo, *A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys., 10 (1985) 63-69.
- [Ji2] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys., 11 (1986) 247-252.
- [Ka] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [KS] A. Klimyk, K. Schmudgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [KR] P.P. Kulish, N.Y. Reshetikhin, *The quantum linear problem for the sine-Gordon equation and highest representations*, J. Soviet Math., 23 (1983) 2435-2441.
- [KuS] P.P. Kulish, E.K. Sklyanin, *Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Soviet Math., 19 (1982) 1596-1620.
- [La] N.P. Landsman, *Mathematical Topics Between Classical and Quantum Mechanics*, Springer, New York 1998.
- [Lo] P.O. Löwdin, *Group Algebra, Convolution Algebra, and applications to Quantum Mechanics*, Reviews of Modern Physics, 39 (2) (1967) 259-287.
- [Lu] G. Ludwig, *Wave Mechanics*, Pergamon Press, London, 1968.
- [Mac] C.C. Macduffee, *The Theory of Matrices*, Chelsea Publ. Company, New York, 1946.
- [Mak] K. Mackenzie, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in Differential Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [MacL] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [Ma] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [Md] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Mn] Y.I. Manin, *Quantum Groups and Non-Commutative Geometry*, Publications du CRM de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1988.
- [Mo] W.J. Moore, *Physical Chemistry*, tr. it. *Chimica Fisica*, Piccin Editore, Padova, 1980.
- [Ni] A. Nijenhuis, *Theory of the geometric object*, Amsterdam, 1952.
- [No] D.G. Northcott, *Ideal Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1953.
- [Pi] B. Pini, *Primo Corso di Algebra*, Editrice CLUEB, Bologna, 1967.
- [Sch] E. Schrödinger, *Annalen der Physik*, 79 (1926) 361, 489, 734; 80 (1926) 437; 81 (1926) 109.
- [Sh] I. Schur, *Sitzungsberichte der Preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften*,

Berlin (1905) 406-432.

[St] F. Strocchi, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Structure of Quantum Mechanics*, lecture notes given at the Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1996, or: F. Strocchi, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Structure of Quantum Mechanics*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 2005.

[Vr] A. Vretblad, *Fourier Analysis and its Applications*, GTM 223, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[Wa] W. Waliszewski, *Categories, groupoids, pseudogroups and analytic structures*, Rozprawy Matematyczne, Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk, XLV, Warszawa, 1965.

[We] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

[Wo] S.L. Woronowicz, *Compact matrix pseudo-groups*, Comm. Math. Phys., 111 (1987) 613-665.